Factors Influencing Satisfaction on Internship of Art Normal Students in Sichuan, China
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Abstract

This study aims to determine the significant impact of supervisor support, task clarity, service quality, perceived value, and student expectation on art student satisfaction on educational internship. This quantitative study conducted the data collection by distributing questionnaires. Before the data collection, the item-objective congruence (IOC) index was approved by three experts at a score above 0.5, and Cronbach’s alpha coefficient value of the pilot test was acceptable at above 0.7. The sampling methods used were purposive, stratified random, and convenience samplings. The data were analyzed by confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), and the hypotheses were tested by the structural equation model (SEM). The results showed that service quality, supervisor support, task clarity, and perceived value significantly impacted art students’ internship satisfaction. Service quality had the most substantial significant impact on art students' internship satisfaction. However, there was no causal relationship between student expectation and student satisfaction. In conclusion, universities and internship sites should focus on improving service quality, providing skilled supervisors, giving higher task clarity, and promoting values of the educational internship program to ensure student satisfaction.
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Introduction

In China, students majoring in arts education are called “normal art students”. Their future career is expected to be art teachers. Before graduating from university, art normal students will be required to complete a full-time educational internship at the internship sites
such as kindergartens, primary schools, and middle schools. The educational internship is a practical course as a prerequisite for art teachers. It is experiential learning for art students to practice their art teaching in primary and secondary schools to execute their knowledge and skills (Davies, 1990). The educational internship is essential for art students, universities, and internship sites because it helps them to understand the status quo of basic art education, master teaching skills, and develop professional ability. For universities, educational internship improves the quality of talented trainers and employment rate. For the internship sites, accepting interns and giving them suitable training and specialization is part of the talent development plan (Maertz et al., 2014). Thus, China's Ministry of Education has been focused on strengthening the opinions of the legal and educational internship for standardizing the practice management of ordinary undergraduate institutions. The government measures for the implementation of professional certification of teachers of standard universities (provisional), relating to the educational internship files to ensure students, universities, and internship sites attached great importance to the educational internship.

Although educational internship has many obvious benefits for the stakeholders of art students, internship sites, and universities, there are some potential risks in the educational internship phenomenon. Therefore, this study examines the case of Sichuan Province, China. At present, the experience of art students during the educational internship is poor, and the educational internship does not meet the expectations of interns. The internship sites fail to design core or important work tasks for art normal students. Additionally, the guidance, supervision, and management of art university students are inadequate. In this context, it has attracted the attention of scholars, whose research results are mainly reflected in three aspects. Firstly, the relationship between average art students and supervisors has been discussed as a challenge to student performance and future career opportunities (Li, 1994). Secondly, the teaching practice cannot ensure students’ work experience, which requires a constructive plan for career development (Xie, 2000). Thirdly, the educational internship should narrow the gap between the learning theories and actual practices in the natural academic environment. Some researchers have discussed that task clarity, service quality, perceived value, and student expectation are relevant to student satisfaction with the educational internship (Tu, 2017). Consequently, student satisfaction with their educational internship can be a prominent indicator of improving the education internship structure.

**Research objectives**

1. To explore the causal relationship among supervisor support, task clarity, service quality, perceived value, student expectation, and student satisfaction of art students on educational internship.
2. To provide recommendations for students, universities, and internship sites to maximize their educational internship outcomes.
Research questions
1. What are causal relationships among supervisor support, task clarity, service quality, perceived value, student expectation, and student satisfaction of art students on an educational internship?
2. What are recommendations for students, universities, and internship sites to maximize their educational internship outcomes?

Literature review

Expectation Confirmation Theory (ECT)
Expectation confirmation theory is the basic theory of consumer satisfaction proposed by Oliver (1980). This theory mainly refers to consumers’ judgment of whether they are satisfied with the product or service by comparing their expectations before purchase with the performance of the product in use. ECT variables include perceived performance, expectation, confirmation, and satisfaction.

The American Customer Satisfaction Model (ACSI)
The American Customer Satisfaction Model (ACSI), established in 1994, was one of the most widely used satisfaction models. The American satisfaction model is constructed based on the Swedish customer satisfaction model, which has six variables: customer expectation, perceived quality, perceived value, customer satisfaction, customer complaint, and customer loyalty (Fornell et al., 1996).

Supervisor support
Supervisor support can be defined as the degree to which a supervisor improves his/her behavior during the interaction with the interns, including creating an atmosphere of psychological support, mutual trust, friendliness, and helpfulness (House, 1971). McHugh (2017) found that task clarity and student satisfaction were significantly influenced by the support and guidance of internship supervisors. Jackson et al. (2019) pointed out that students can better apply their knowledge and skills to work under the support of supervisors.

Gerstner and Day (1997) found that the relationship between superior and subordinate plays a significant role in organizational outcomes such as job satisfaction, organizational prospects, and welfare. Beenan (2007) studied the effect of supervisor support and task clarity on the effectiveness of internships, and he pointed out that task clarity significantly affects supervisor support. In addition, interns can get higher task clarity derived from supervisors’ higher support. Maelah et al. (2014) confirmed the relationship between supervisor support, task clarity, and undergraduate students’ satisfaction during the internship. The degree of supervisory support can influence the student satisfaction and performance of subordinates (Babin & Boles, 1996; Michaels et al., 1987). Hence, hypotheses are assumed:

H1: Supervisor support has a significant impact on task clarity.
H2: Supervisor support has a significant impact on student satisfaction.
Task clarity

Task clarity is the intern’s understanding of the assigned task to be accomplished (Beenen & Rousseau, 2010). Maertz et al. (2014) reviewed the literature on internships in different disciplines. They reported that one factor in successful internships included clarity of tasks. Frontline employees’ understanding of role/task clarity desires many aspects, including customer satisfaction, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and job performance (Ruyter et al., 2001).

Hora, Chen et al. (2019) confirmed that task clarity significantly predicted interns’ satisfaction. Sawyer (1992) measured 402 mental health workers from two organizations using two role structures which are “Process” and “Goal Clarity”, and reported that task clarity is positively correlated with employee satisfaction. Feldman and Weitz (1990) pointed out that interns would be more satisfied with their internship if tasks are made more formal and structured; that is, tasks are clarified. Therefore, a hypothesis is proposed:

H3: Task clarity has a significant impact on student satisfaction.

Service quality

The definition of service quality is the judgment of the advantages and disadvantages of customers’ overall impression of the services provided (Panda, 2019). Parasuraman et al. (1991) found that due to the multi-dimensional service quality characteristics customers would have different understandings of the same service based on their subjective reasons. The judgment of service quality is based on the gap between the customer’s expectation and the experience. Students are among the most important customers of higher education institutions and are affected mainly by the quality of service provided by existing higher education (Abili et al., 2011).

The relationship between perceived quality and perceived value is a research area worthy of careful investigation. Cronin et al. (2000) reported that service quality was a predictor of perceived value. In some studies, scholars pointed out that service quality is the main determinant of perceived value and plays a specific role (Cronin et al., 2000; Brady et al., 2002; Hellier et al., 2003).

Norizan and Abdullah (2010) realized that the perception of service quality in customers' minds would have a significant correlation with satisfaction, and customers’ purchase intention in the future would also be affected by it. Many researchers have looked at students’ satisfaction with the services provided by higher education institutions or internship sites (Sarrico & Rosa, 2014). Based on the above discussions, hypotheses are indicated:

H4: Service quality has a significant impact on perceived value.
H5: Service quality has a significant impact on student satisfaction.

Perceived value

Zeithaml (1988) conceptualized perceived value as a customer’s perception of the overall utility of a product or service after experiencing it. Kotler (2003) stated that perceived value refers to customers’ assessment of the benefits and costs of products and their perception
after comparing them with expected substitutes. Customers can assess value from the perspective of benefit and cost, and decide whether to buy the product/service (Choi et al., 2004).

Perceived quality is a key factor in perceived satisfaction (Cronin et al., 2000; Fornell et al., 1996). Murphy (2018) studied the relationship between perceived value and internship satisfaction and found that perceived value strongly and significantly impacted internship satisfaction. In several empirical studies, a student’s perception of value has been identified as one of the main predictors of student satisfaction (Cronin et al., 2000; Caruana, 2002). More specifically, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H6: Perceived value has a significant impact on student satisfaction.

**Student expectation**

Coye (2004) stated that expectation could be viewed as the degree to which a customer expects a service provider to be able to deliver a service. The expectation refers to a belief in the outcome of an event (Oliver, 1980). Miller (1977) constructed the ideal state of expectation and conceptually translated it into performance at the level of “hope”. Expectation is the result of customers’ experience of the company’s product/service, and it also includes the information before the integration and analysis of resources such as the advertisement, user’s word of mouth, and supplier’s reputation (Anderson & Fornell, 2000).

Expectations directly relate to student satisfaction because expectations carry personal views or the sum of views on the level of service attributes. The main source of a direct subsequence of student satisfaction or preference is led by student’s expectations (Thong et al., 2006). In ECT theory, expectations are directly related to post-service satisfaction (Oliver & Linda, 1981; Churchill & Surpreant, 1982; Bearden & Teel, 1983; Thong et al., 2006). More specifically, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H7: Student expectation has a significant impact on student satisfaction.

**Student satisfaction**

Satisfaction is a pleasant emotional experience that results from the evaluation of people on their work, usage, or purchase (Locke, 1969). Interns’ satisfaction refers to students' overall satisfaction with their internship program (To & Lung, 2020). In information systems, user satisfaction has been widely used as a measurement index and is also the main index to evaluate the adoption effect of new systems (DeLone & McLean, 2016; Montesdioca & Maçada, 2015). The research on satisfaction mainly comes from a cognitive activity in which customers compare perceived performance with one or more items (such as expectations), resulting in satisfaction. (Parasuraman et al., 1988).

**Research conceptual framework**

The conceptual framework of this research was developed based on Expectation Confirmation Theory (ECT) and The American Customer Satisfaction Model (ACSI), involving six variables which are Supervisor Support (SS), Task Clarity (TC), Service Quality
(SQ), Perceived Value (PV), Student Expectation (SE), and Student Satisfaction (SSAT) which can be indicated as a diagram as Figure 1:
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**Figure 1** Research conceptual framework

**Research methodology**

This quantitative study collected the data by distributing questionnaires via an online platform called “Wenjuanxing” from January to April 2022. The sampling methods used were purposive, stratified random, and convenience samplings. The survey comprises 3 sections, containing 28 questions, which are 2 screening questions, 4 demographic questions, and 21 five-point Likert-scale questions, ranging from 1 of strongly disagree to 5 of strongly agree. Three experts approved the item-objective congruence (IOC) index at a score above 0.5, and Cronbach’s alpha coefficient value of the pilot test was acceptable at above 0.7. Afterward, confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) and structural equation modeling (SEM) were carried out to achieve research objectives.

**Population and samples**

The five universities were used in a case study-involving Sichuan Normal University, China West Normal University, Sichuan Yibin University, Sichuan University of Arts and Sciences, and Chengdu Normal University. The sample units of 6,892 are third-year students (juniors) and fourth-year students (seniors) from five universities in Sichuan Province, China. Kline (2011) suggested that the sample size of the structural equation model should be at least 200 respondents. In this study, 575 responses were returned and were screened to delete extreme and missing data, making up 496 responses used in this study.
Reliability test (Pilot test)

After the questionnaire was developed, it was distributed to 30 respondents to investigate the internal consistency and reliability of the questionnaire by the test of Cronbach’s alpha coefficient value. The Cronbach’s Alpha value must be 0.70 or above, reflecting the construct’s internal consistency coefficient (Dikko, 2016). It is confirmed that the research questionnaire is valid and reliable, as shown in the results of Cronbach’s alpha in Table 1.

Table 1 Consistency of the scale test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Number of Item</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>Strength of Association*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality (SQ)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Support (SS)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task Clarity (TC)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Expectation (SE)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Value (PV)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Satisfaction (SSAT)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Dikko (2016)

Results and discussion

This research aimed to study factors of internship satisfaction of art students in universities in Sichuan Province, China. In this study, SPSS and AMOS were used for confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), and the statistical results of structural equation modeling (SEM) were analyzed.

Demographic profile summary

The target respondents are 496 university students majoring in arts education in Sichuan Province, China, who had experienced a certain period of educational internship, which can be described and summarized as shown in Table 2. In consideration of 496 respondents, most of students are female for 80.0% (397 respondents) and male for 20.0% (99 respondents). For the year of study, most of the respondents were seniors, accounting for 78.6% (390 respondents), and juniors accounted for 21.4% (106 respondents). The proportion of respondents who practiced in middle schools was 44.0% (218 respondents), and 44.2% (220 respondents) worked as an intern at a primary school. As for the duration of the internship, 50.8% (252 respondents) had an internship period of more than 12 weeks, 34.8% (173 respondents) of 8-12 weeks, and 14.4% (71 respondents) of less than 8 weeks.
Table 2 Demographic characteristics of respondents (n=496)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Factors</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniors</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 8 weeks</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 8-12 weeks</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 12 weeks</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)

Brown (2006) pointed out that CFA can evaluate the correlation between variables. CFA can verify convergence validity and discriminant validity. The results in Table 3 show that the statistical estimation in this study is meaningful. Specifically, the construction had an internal consistency coefficient under the rule of thumb that Cronbach's Alpha value must be 0.70 or above (Dikko, 2016). When t-value > 1.98, p-value < 0.5, and factor loading higher than 0.5 were acceptable (Hair et al., 2010). In addition, the composite reliability (CR) of all structures was more significant than 0.7, and the average variance extracted (AVE) was greater than 0.5 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981).

Table 3 Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), Composite Reliability (CR), and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Source of Questionnaire (Measurement Indicator)</th>
<th>No. of Items</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>Factor Loading</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality (SQ)</td>
<td>Chaudhary and Dey (2020)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.840-0.884</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Support (SS)</td>
<td>To and Lung (2020)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.743-0.844</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task Clarity (TC)</td>
<td>To and Lung (2020)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>0.683-0.877</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Expectation (SE)</td>
<td>Dan et al. 2018</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.737-0.813</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td>0.591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Value (PV)</td>
<td>Lee and Phau (2018)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>0.711-0.845</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Satisfaction (SSAT)</td>
<td>To and Lung (2020)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.773-0.802</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Fornell and Larcker (1981), each variable’s square root of AVE was calculated to evaluate the discriminant validity, and the discriminant validity was compared with factor correlation to determine whether it passes. In this study, the values of discriminant
validity were all greater than the correlation between structures, so it was considered that the discriminant validity meets the requirements.

Table 4 Discriminant validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>SQ</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>SSAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SQ</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>-0.099</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSAT</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Structural Equation Model (SEM)

Byrne (2010) pointed out that the structural equation model is a statistical method to measure the correlation of structural equations. SEM measurement mainly includes two aspects: goodness of fit of model and correlation between variables. In terms of the adaptability of the model, the SEM statistical index values in this study are compared with acceptable standard values. The indices and values of goodness of fit were CMIN/DF = 4.102, GFI = 0.889, AGFI = 0.854, NFI = 0.874, CFI = 0.901, TLI = 0.882, RMSEA = 0.079, respectively. The values of each index are all within acceptable standards, so the fitness of the model in this study is acceptable.

Table 1 Goodness of fit for Structural Equation Model (SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Statistical Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMIN/df</td>
<td>&lt;5 Awang (2012)</td>
<td>4.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.85 Sica and Ghisi (2007)</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.80 Sica and Ghisi (2007)</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.80 Wu and Wang (2006)</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.80 Bentler (1990)</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLI</td>
<td>&gt;0.80 Sharma et. al. (2005)</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>&lt;0.08 Pedroso et. al. (2016)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark: CMIN/DF = The ratio of the chi-square value to degree of freedom, GFI = goodness-of-fit index, AGFI = adjusted goodness-of-fit index, NFI = normalized fit index, CFI = comparative fit index, TLI = Tucker-Lewis index, and RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation
Research hypothesis testing result

In the structural model, regression weights and $R^2$ variances are used to measure the significance of the relationship between variables. The results show that all the hypotheses, except H7, were supported. The strongest predictor of student satisfaction was service quality, followed by perceived value. Table 6 shows the test results of this study.

Table 6 Hypothesis Testing Result of the Structural Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Standardized path coefficient ($\beta$)</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Testing result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1 SS→TC</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>9.048*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2 SS→SSAT</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>4.237*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3 TC→SSAT</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>2.569*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4 SQ→PV</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>3.513*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5 SQ→SSAT</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>8.141*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6 PV→SSAT</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>6.840*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7 SE→SSAT</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>-0.538</td>
<td>Not Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *p-value <0.05 is significant, that is, H1-H6 is significant, while H7 is not significant.

Figure 2 The results of the structural model

Note: *p-value <0.05, t-value in parentheses; Solid line report is significant; The dashed line reports no significance, that is, H1-H6 is significant, while H7 is not significant.

From Figure 2 and Table 6, the results of the structural paths can be summarized as follows:
H1: Supervisor support significantly impacted task clarity with a standardized path coefficient of 0.520 and a t-value of 9.048. The hypothesis was supported by previous empirical studies (Beenan, 2007; Hora et al., 2019). University students believe that the supervisor’s support in internship sites can help them better transfer their knowledge and skills to the workplace.

H2: The standardized path coefficient between supervisor support and student satisfaction was 0.241, and the t-value at 4.237. Therefore, supervisor support significantly impacted student satisfaction. Consequently, H2 was supported. This result was consistent with previous research (Hora et al., 2019; Gerstner & Day, 1997; Maelah et al., 2014). During the educational internship period, students will have work experience under the guidance of the practice instructor. Therefore, the higher the supervisor’s support in assigning work tasks, giving feedback on interns’ performance, and improving interns’ ability to work, the higher students' satisfaction.

H3: The hypothesis was supported by the relationship between task clarity and student satisfaction using a standardized path coefficient of 0.140 and a t-value at 2.569. Task clarity was one of the predictors of internship satisfaction, which had been consistently reflected in previous research results (Hora et al., 2019; Sawyer, 1992; Feldman & Weitz, 1990). Students are more likely to be satisfied with their internships if their facilitators promote their understanding of the outcome and degree of completion of the work to be done.

H4: The standardized path coefficient between service quality and perceived value was 0.178, and the t-value at 3.513. Therefore, H4 supported that service quality significantly impacted perceived value. In some studies, scholars pointed out that service quality was the main determinant of perceived value (Cronin et al., 2000; Brady et al., 2002; Hellier et al., 2003).

H5: Service quality significantly impacted student satisfaction, supported by the standardized path coefficient of 0.388 and t-value of 8.141. Service quality is a prerequisite for student satisfaction, which has been confirmed in much social and marketing literature (Carrillat et al., 2007; Norizan & Abdullah, 2010; Reibstein, 2002; Parasuraman et al. , 1991; Sarrico & Rosa, 2014). Consequently, it shows that students are satisfied if universities provide a high service quality.

H6: The standardized path coefficient between perceived value and student satisfaction was 0.339 and the t-value at 6.840, supporting H6 that perceived value significantly impacted student satisfaction. It is accepted that students’ perception of service quality in higher education institutions significantly impacts satisfaction (Cronin et al., 2000; Caruana, 2002). The value perceived by students had a profound impact on students’ satisfaction with an educational internship.

H7: The standardized path coefficient between student expectation and student satisfaction was -0.024 with t-value of -0.538. Therefore, the result indicated that student expectation did not significantly impact student satisfaction (Johnson & Fornell, 1991). In previous studies, some scholars stated that expectation had no or only a weak impact on satisfaction. Some studies showed that expectation did not directly affect satisfaction because
an education internship program is a mandatory requirement for the course completion which they are expected to attend. Students must perform their best to get a good recommendation from the internship providers (Churchill & Surpremant, 1982; Oliver & Desarbo, 1988).

Conclusions and implications

Conclusions

This study aimed to explore the factors affecting art students’ satisfaction with an educational internship. The sample units of this study are juniors and seniors from five universities in Sichuan Province, China. The five universities selected were Sichuan Normal University, China West Normal University, Sichuan Yibin University, Sichuan University of Arts and Sciences, and Chengdu Normal University. Six variables and seven hypotheses were used to explore the impact of supervisor support, task clarity, service quality, perceived value, and student expectation on student satisfaction. This study is a quantitative, using a questionnaire survey to collect data.

The results are as follows. First, service quality significantly impacted the satisfaction of educational internships of arts education majors in Sichuan Province, China. Carrillat et al. (2007) confirmed that service quality is a prerequisite for customer satisfaction. ACSI model also showed that perceived quality has a positive impact on satisfaction. The quality of service largely determines the effect of the educational internship. The better standard of the university practice management system, professionalism of the practice teachers, and quality of the educational internship curriculum significantly affect the student satisfaction. Secondly, the perceived value had a significant impact on student satisfaction. Murphy (2018) studied the relationship between perceived value and internship satisfaction and found that perceived value strongly and significantly impacted internship satisfaction. Compared with the support, management and other services provided by universities and internship sites, students only need to integrate into the work environment step by step; that is, students perceive a higher value in an educational internship, so they are more satisfied.

Thirdly, supervisor support significantly influenced the student satisfaction with their art educational internship in Sichuan province. Thus, assigning interns to work on their interest areas, clarifying the tasks they need to complete, and providing high-quality guidance such as appropriate supervision, feedback and other support can lead to higher student satisfaction (Beenen, 2007; Jackson et al., 2019). The results showed that there was a positive correlation between task clarity and student satisfaction of educational internship of art normal students in Sichuan province in this study as confirmed by many scholars (Hora et al., 2019; Sawyer, 1992; Feldman & Weitz, 1990), who pointed out that interns would be more satisfied with their internship if tasks are made more formal and structured, that is, tasks are clarified. However, there was no causal relationship between student expectation and student satisfaction. It can be assumed that an education internship program is a mandatory requirement for the course completion they are expected to attend, and students have been forced to attend whether they like it or not. To sum up, this study achieved its objectives; service quality, supervisor support, task clarity, and perceived value significantly impacted art students' internship satisfaction.
Implications from findings

Academic researchers

When looking into the findings, service quality, supervisor support, task clarity, and perceived value significantly impacted art normal students’ internship satisfaction. Accordingly, academic researchers could extend the future research to confirm whether there would be different results in other sample groups or even in other industries. Service quality had the most substantial impact on average art students’ internship satisfaction, implying that this variable should get great attention to developing a research model. However, there was no causal relationship between student expectation and student satisfaction. It offers alternatives to future scholars to explore deeper in a qualitative study to find the exact reason.

Universities and internship sites

Due to service quality having the most substantial impact on average art normal students’ internship satisfaction, universities and internship sites could assess the quality of their internship programs for better student satisfaction. Universities and Internship sites should focus on teachers and supervisors with rich industry experience and coaching skills, and they should allow students majoring in arts to discuss relevant problems in the process of educational internship, understand the problems students encounter in their study, work and life, and give care and help because supervisor support greatly supports student satisfaction. Task clarity should be clear and measurable to ensure students’ good internship experience, which raises their satisfaction level. Furthermore, universities should promote the value of internship programs that can lead to students’ future careers.

Limitations and further study

The sample of students in this study was from juniors and seniors of five universities in Sichuan Province of China. Therefore, other geographical areas or years of study could produce the same or different results the future. Many more variables could be explored to develop a different hypothesis on the impact to student satisfaction, such as career development, internship materials, etc. Further studies could extend the study qualitatively by a focus group or interview to clarify significant and insignificant results.
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