


Review Articles: Lost Kingdoms



Piriya Krairiksh and John Listopad review an outstanding exhibition and catalogue

Lost Kingdoms: Hindu-Buddhist Sculpture of Early Southeast Asia by John Guy (New York: Metropolitan Museum of Art; Bangkok: River Books, 2014). ISBN: 978-1-58839-524-5 (Metropolitan Museum of Art), ISBN: 978-616-7339-48-1 (River Books), ISBN 978-0-300-20437-7 (Yale University Press). 2,500 Baht/US\$65.

This catalog was published in conjunction with the exhibition of the same name at the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, from April 14 through July 27, 2014. John Guy, Florence and Herbert Irving Curator of the Arts of South and Southeast Asia at the Met, who initiated, undertook and oversaw the exhibition as well as the accompanying volume, must be highly congratulated for having accomplished this Herculean task with such success. He cannot be praised too highly for having brought under one roof some of the finest pieces of sculpture ever created in

Southeast Asia. Even though most of the sculptures in the exhibition were selected for their superlative artistic quality, they were also chosen to illustrate art historical compatibility. For the sake of brevity only the outstanding pieces will be mentioned here.

It was a surprise to be confronted with so many choice treasures from Śrī Ksetra. Foremost among them are the Throne Stele (CAT.12) in the National Museum of Myanmar, Yangon, and the Vikrama dynasty Buddha in Meditation (CAT.41) in the Thiri Khittaya (Śrī Ksetra) Archaeological Museum. Although the piece de résistance, the inscribed reliquary excavated at the Khin Ba mound (CAT.27) failed to materialize, having been deemed too fragile to travel, other treasures from this hoard are well represented including the Relic-Chamber Cover (CAT.26), a selection of stūpa deposits (CAT.28-31) as well as the terracotta relief depicting Prince Temiya from the Mughapakkha Jātaka (CAT.32).

The National Museum of Cambodia sent some of its best known pre-Angkorian pieces. Most impressive are Buddha Offering Protection from Angkor Borei (CAT.43), a Krsna Govardhana from Phnom Da, Angkor Borei (CAT.72), that is matched by the equally spectacular Krsna Govardhana now in the Cleveland Museum of Art (CAT.73), whose original provenance was Phnom Da as well. Other masterpieces from the National Museum of Cambodia include Visnu from Prasat Rup Arak, Phnom Kulen (CAT.79) which is considered “a masterpiece of this period”, a rare image of Śiva as an Ascetic from Kampong Cham Kau, Stung Treng province (CAT.96), an image of the horse-headed Kalkin (CAT.74), an elephant-headed Ganeśa (CAT.99), both of which were discovered together in the region of Phnom Da, a ravishing figure of Devī from Koh Krieng (CAT.94), and a voluptuous Durgā Mahisāsuramardinī from Prey Veng province (CAT.66) as well as a door lintel depicting Visnu Anantaśāyin and the Birth of Brahmā from Wat Po Veal, Tuol Baset (CAT.75), that is compared to a tympanum depicting the same subject from Temple E1 at My Son (CAT.76) in the Museum of Cham Sculpture, Danang.

The Museum of Cham Sculpture, Danang, is one of the Vietnamese museums that contributed some of their finest pieces to the exhibition. It dispatched an early *Yaksa* figure from Tra Kieu (CAT.15), a superb image of Ganeśa from Temple E5 at My Son (CAT.100), and an equally impressive Enthroned Planetary Deity from the Buddhist Monastery at Dong Duong (CAT.156) that is matched by a companion piece from the same site now in the Cleveland Museum of Art (CAT.155). The National Museum of Vietnamese History, Ho Chi Minh City, sent a Visnu wearing an ankle-length waist cloth, “the only surviving bronze Visnu image in this style” from Tan Hoi, An Giang province (CAT.61) that is paired with a stone counterpart excavated in Dong Thap province (CAT.59) in the Dong Thap Province Museum, Cao Lanh. From the same museum came two renowned Buddha images from Tra Vinh province: one seated in meditation found in Phnom Cangek (CAT.47) and the other an Enthroned Buddha from Son Tho (CAT.108). Less well known is a rare image of the Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara complete with its support in the form

of an arch from the same province (CAT.134) and two Cham bronze Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara: one from Quang Binh province (CAT.169) and the other from Binh Dinh province (CAT.170).

The Bangkok National Museum dispatched a selection of important pieces, among them two types of stone images of Visnu: one wearing a long garment from Si Mahosot (CAT.60), the other wearing a brief loincloth from Si Thep (CAT.71); and a Sūrya (CAT.70), also from Si Thep, that compares favorably with a fine example from Ba The (Oc Eo) in the National Museum of Vietnamese History (CAT.68). It also sent a trove of Buddha images led by a superb specimen from Wat Na Phra Men, Ayutthaya (CAT.117), whose head graces the cover of this book, followed by a terracotta head discovered at Nakhon Pathom (CAT.118), whose serene beauty gave the name Wat Phra Ngam (Monastery of the Beautiful Buddha) to the mound from which it was found. Two heads of masterly workmanship show the contrasting styles of the Mon Buddha's physiognomy: one sharp and lineal from Ayutthaya (CAT.115) and the other curvaceous from Wat Mahathat, Ratchaburi (CAT.116). Among them is an image of Buddha Calling the Earth to Witness, the iconography of which is rare for the period, from Buriram province (CAT.113), and three steles: one depicts the Twin Miracles at Śrāvasti (CAT.126), another shows a Buddha in Meditation under a Seven-Headed *Nāga* flanked by stūpas (CAT.111), which is paired with another one showing a Buddha in Meditation flanked by stūpas from the National Museum, Prachinburi (CAT.112). Apart from stone Buddhas, it also sent three of its finest bronze images: an Enthroned Buddha Vairocana (CAT.163), an Eight-Armed Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara (CAT.166) and Bodhisattva Lokanātha (Avalokiteśvara) Granting Boons (CAT.167). Then come the terracotta favorites from Khu Bua, Ratchaburi province: an Enthroned Buddha Preaching (CAT.110), Head of a Crowned Bodhisattva (CAT.146) and a standing Bodhisattva (CAT.147). Stucco is represented by a crisply modeled Head of a Male Divinity (CAT.150) from Nakhon Pathom. A clay impression Depicting a Ship at Sea from the 4th-5th century (CAT.1) and a sealing Depicting Heavenly Palaces from Phatthalung province (CAT.154) round up the Bangkok Museum contributions.

Thailand's provincial museums also contributed their finest pieces. Chaiya sent its chef-d'œuvre, Buddha in Meditation (CAT.42). Nakhon Si Thammarat lent its outstanding bronze Torso of Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara (CAT.6), which is paired with its counterpart from Andhra Pradesh in the Victoria and Albert Museum, London (CAT.7). U Thong dispatched a historic local find, a copper Coin from the Reign of Roman Emperor Victorinus, 269-271, an Antefix with Head of Śiva (CAT.106), which is shown together with an Antefix with a Male Head from An Giang province in the National Museum of Vietnamese History, Ho Chi Minh City (CAT.107), and a superb bronze Buddha Teaching with the hands in the double expository gesture (*vitarkamudrā*) (CAT.119). Phra Pathom Chedi National Museum sent among others a fine example of a *Dharmacakra* (Wheel of the Law) (CAT.123), three stucco reliefs

from the Chula Pathon Chedi: an Assembly of Noblemen (CAT.151), a Kubera (CAT.152), whose head adorns the book's back cover, and a *Kinnara* (CAT.153), as well as a stucco Lion Guardian (CAT.128).

Muzium Negara, Kuala Lumpur, sent its undisputed masterpiece, “the single most important multi-armed sculpture of Avalokiteśvara from the region to have survived” from Bidor, Perak, the Bodhisattva Amoghapāśa (Avalokiteśvara) (CAT.157). The Asian Civilisations Museum, Singapore, contributed the pride of its collection, Buddha Granting Boons from Bujang Valley, Kedah (CAT.20).

Musée National des Arts Asiatiques-Guimet, Paris, lent its inscribed image of Buddha Preaching from Tuol Preah That, Kampong Speu province (CAT.44), two images of Visnu from the 9th century: one Cham Visnu Mounted on Garuda found in Danang province (CAT.78), another Khmer found in Siem Reap province (CAT.79), a Devī from Koh Krieng, Kratie province (CAT.95), and a Skanda, god of war, from Prei Veng province (CAT.98), that is compared to a Skanda from Kampong Cham province in the National Museum of Cambodia (CAT.97). The veritable masterpieces of the Guimet’s assignments are the stone Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara from Rach Gia (Tan Long), Soc Trang province (CAT.137) and the bronze Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara from Central Java (CAT.161).

The above list does not include sculptures on loan from museums in the United States, which together with the loan pieces gave the exhibition a comprehensive view of artistic achievements in Southeast Asia from the 6th to the 8th century that no other museum could emulate. This reviewer could not agree more with the *New York Times* reviewer who wrote that “when the Metropolitan of Art gives its all to an exhibition in terms of space, money and scholarship, and the art involved is as rich as a massed chorale and as haunting as a single-voice chant, no institution on earth can produce more impressive results”. Furthermore, “projects like this, which entail the shipping of big and preposterously fragile things cost a mint. For that reason alone, the chance of anything like a reprise within a generation is pretty much nil” (Cotter 2014). In view of this the accompanying catalog is invaluable to lovers of Southeast Asian Art who did not have the opportunity to attend the exhibition.

The exhibits were arranged in chronological order, beginning with imported objects which became models for locally made artifacts, followed by sculpture representing indigenous nature cults which facilitated the assimilation of Indic religions, then early Buddhist icons and their regional variations, ending with images of Hindu gods in their different manifestations. The catalog, on the other hand, “is organized thematically to draw out the major narratives that shaped the region’s distinct cultural identities, notably the importation and integration of Indian religions, the role of Brahmanical cults, the emergence of state sponsorship and the role of savior cults” (Guy 2014, IX). The catalog is divided into five chapters, each corresponding to the dominant themes of the exhibition: I. Lost Kingdoms; II. Emerging Identities; III. The Brahmanical World; IV. State Art; and V. Savior Cults.

Each chapter contains short articles written by prominent scholars in the field linking the exhibits to the key themes and providing contextual backgrounds for the objects. To integrate the exhibits with the themes of the exhibition a catalog of the exhibits, written by the curator, is appended to each chapter.

The first chapter “Lost Kingdoms” contains four articles, two by the curator. The first “Introducing Early Southeast Asia” discusses the different interpretations of “Indianization”, the transmission of Indian religious imagery and the introduction of Brahmanism and Buddhism to Suvarnabhūmi “Land of Gold” or Suvarnadvīpa “Island of Gold”, as well as influences of Indic languages and South Indian scripts in Southeast Asia. The second article, “Principal Kingdoms of Early Southeast Asia”, enumerates different city-states and polities that existed during that period. “Southeast Asia and the Early Maritime Silk Road” by Bérénice Bellina emphasizes pre-existing trade exchanges within Southeast Asia from the mid-1st millennium B.C. before the process of “Indianization”. Geoff Wade’s “Beyond the Southern Borders: Southeast Asia in Chinese Texts to the Ninth Century” gives a synopsis of Chinese historical records pertaining to Southeast Asia. Although progress has been made in identifying Chinese place names with specific locations, this reviewer remains doubtful where Dvāravatī was located, since Si Thep has as much a claim as Nakhon Pathom. For Xuanzang’s direction, archaeological evidence at Si Thep and the discovery of an inscription found in Nakhon Ratchasima province seriously demand a reevaluation of the matter. The fact that a number of silver medals were found at Nakhon Pathom, U Thong and In Buri bearing a Sanskrit text, “meritorious deed of the ruler of Dvāravatī”, does not prove that his kingdom was located there. But a king from a neighboring kingdom, called Dvāravatī, might have chosen to make his merit there. If Dvāravatī were to have been located at Si Thep, then the polities named in Chinese texts as being south of Dvāravatī would have to be realigned accordingly.

The catalog entries, entitled “Indian Imports” and “Nature Cults” conclude the first chapter. Pamela Gutman and Bob Hudson convincingly identify the central figure on the reverse of the Throne Stele from Śrī Ksetra (CAT.12) as Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa of the Bhāgavata cult, which was the earliest Brahmanical cult to penetrate Southeast Asia, and propose a date around the end of the 1st century for the stele (Gutman and Hudson 2012-2013, 17-46), three centuries earlier than that given in the catalog. As for Lotus Base with Squatting *Gana* Figure (CAT.17) from Wat Na Phra Men, Ayutthaya, its iconography is directly inspired by Chinese sculpture, such as Śākyamuni Triad from Hua Yin, Eastern Shensi, executed during the Northern Wei dynasty (386-534) (Siren 1970, PL. 138), in which the flanking Bodhisattvas are shown standing on a lotus base supported by a squatting dwarf. Chinese influence is also detected in the anthropomorphic dragon depicted on a Lintel with Anthropomorphic Dragon in Foliage (CAT.18) where “analogous expressions of a monster-faced anthropomorph appear in funerary sculpture of the Northern Qi and Sui dynasties (6th-7th centuries)” (Guy 2014, 50).

The second chapter with four articles deals with “Emerging Identities” with an article on “Early Indic Inscriptions of Southeast Asia” by Arlo Griffiths who proposes that the term “Late Southern Brāhmi” be used instead of “Pallava script” to describe the form of writing found in most Southeast Asian inscriptions. Another article on inscriptions by Peter Skilling, entitled “Precious Deposits: Buddhism Seen through Inscriptions in Early Southeast Asia”, gives a survey of Buddhist inscriptions beginning in the early centuries AD, when the *Sūtra* on “Dependent Arising” (*Prātiyasamutpāda Sūtra*) was put inside reliquary caskets in India, to the 4th and 5th centuries when a condensed version known as the “*Ye dharma*” formula in either Pāli or Sanskrit was inscribed on objects of veneration found throughout the region. Based on the language of the inscriptions, the author divides Southeast Asia into the Pāli zone: Myanmar and central Thailand; and the Sanskrit zone: Cambodia, Vietnam, the Malay Peninsula, Borneo and the islands of the Indonesian Archipelago. Analogous to the “Dependent Arising” stanza is the verse that expresses the principle of causation in terms of rebirth and *karma* that is found only in the Sanskrit zone. Since “the stanza is not known to exist in any known South Asian inscription, and its source has not been identified in any Buddhist scripture”, the reviewer proposes that the alternative is to look to East Asia for it. The author observes that although Śrī Lanka is the home of the Theravāda school, there is a scarcity of Pāli language inscriptions. “In term of geography, Śrī Lanka falls into the Sanskrit zone.”

This chapter also contains two articles on art and civilization: one by U Thein Lwin, U Win Kyaing, and Janice Stargardt on “The Pyu Civilization of Myanmar and the City of Śrī Ksetra” and the other on “Early Cham Art: Indigenous Styles and Regional Connections” by Pierre Baptiste. The former gives a comprehensive history of Śrī Ksetra, gleaned from a diverse field of advanced archaeological research, which is divided into an Early-Phase Śrī Ksetra, 2nd century BC to 4th century AD, into which the Throne Stele (CAT.12) would have to be placed on account of Gutman’s and Hudson’s research; Phase I of Pyu Buddhism at Śrī Ksetra, 4th to 6th century, where the Khinba mound hoard belongs (CAT.26-32); and Phase II of Buddhist Culture at Śrī Ksetra, 7th to 9th century, which saw the development of stone sculpture of Buddha, such as the Vikrama dynasty Buddha in Meditation (CAT.41). Pierre Baptiste supplies a background to Early Cham sculpture, such as the *Yaksa* from Tra Kieu (CAT.15), the *Nāga* Protected Deity found at Temple G1, My Son, now lost, whose chignon is similar to that of Śiva of Kampong Cham Kau (CAT.96), and the standing Ganeśa from Temple E5 at My Son.

The catalog appended to the second chapter is entitled “Arrival of Buddhism”. In view of the possible existence of Sanskrit Buddhism in Myanmar (Ray 1936, 19-24), might the terra cotta relief depicting Prince Temiya from the Mughapakkha Jātaka (CAT.32) be identified as King Śibi whose flesh is being scraped and hacked off in exchange for a dove from a Sanskrit-language *avadāna* tale? More importantly, this reviewer would like to see further research on the correlation between the different

ordination lineages (*nikayās*) of the Buddhist monkhood with the iconography of the Buddha images. There is no denying that an image is a replica of its prototype, because the Pāli word for an image of Buddha “*patimā*” means a copy. Thus an iconographic type might be indicative of its lineage. For example a Buddha Granting Boons from Wiang Sa, Surat Thani province (CAT.9) probably belonged to the same Sammitīya lineage as Buddha Granting Boons from Sārnāth region, Uttar Pradesh (CAT.10). However, on account of the different manner of wearing the monastic robe and the undergarment, Buddha Granting Boons from Tuol Ta Hoy, Kampong Speu province (CAT.50) was probably affiliated with the Sthaviravāda (Theravāda) lineage (Krairiksh 2013, 20).

“The Brahmanical World” is the title of the third theme. It comprises four articles. Pierre-Yves Manguin contributes an article on “Early Coastal States of Southeast Asia: Funan and Śrīvijaya”. The sites of Dong Thap and Angkor Borei were inhabited a few centuries before the establishment of the kingdom of Funan in the 1st century. By the 3rd or 4th century after extensive canal systems were developed, the archaeological site of Oc Eo (Ba The) became a moated city and a manufacturing center for luxury artifacts some of which were exported overseas. Cultural and trade exchanges with India took place during this period. From the 5th to the mid-7th century a number of brick temples proliferated among which was excavated at Dong Thap a Visnu image (CAT.59). As attested by inscriptions, Vaisnava received royal support until it was supplanted by Śaivism after the fall of Funan. The author accepts the view of Coedès and Wolters that Śrīvijaya was located in Palembang, Sumatra, from the time of Yijing’s visit in the 670s, when it was called Shili Foshi, to the mid-11th century, when it was known as Sanfoqi. This reviewer, however, agrees with Takashi Suzuki that the location of Shili Foshi was not Palembang, but Chaiya on the Peninsula (Suzuki 2012, 109-126).

Agustijanto Indradjaya’s article, “Early Traces of Hinduism and Buddhism across the Java Sea”, shows that during the early centuries AD the islands of the Indonesian Archipelago were trading not only with India but also with Han dynasty China (206 BC to 25 AD). The recent excavations at Batujaya, West Java province, and at Uma Anyar, Bali province, have contributed to our knowledge of Buddhism in the Indonesian Archipelago. At Batujaya the remains of a brick structure, Candi Blandongan, bear some similarities with Wat Phra Men, Nakhon Pathom. A common type of sealing depicting a triad with the central Buddha seated with legs pendant flanked by two standing Bodhisattvas, with three Buddhas seated in meditation above them, has also been found. But, unlike those found in Continental Southeast Asia, the Batujaya variation has four stūpas decorating its frame, two on each side. The form of these stūpas resembles those excavated at the Khin Ba mound, Śrī Ksetra (CAT.31A,B) and at the Chula Pathon Chedi, Nakhon Pathom. Inscriptions bearing the Sanskrit mantra “*ajñānāc cīyate karma*”, which expresses the principle of causation in terms of rebirth and karma, were also found there. Two hundred and

fifty sealings, classified into eleven types have been found at Uma Anyar, Bali, some similar to those found at Batujaya.

Le Thi Lien's "Hindu-Buddhist Sculpture in Southern Vietnam: Evolution of Icons and Styles to the Eighth Century" emphasizes that recent excavations by Vietnamese archaeologists have turned up artifacts in their original settings, providing for the first time religious and ritual context for them, such as a Śaiva linga on its lustration basin excavated at Sanctuary G1A, Cat Tien, Lamdong province. A one-of-its-kind bronze Dūrga Mahisāsuramardini excavated from Ke Mot, Kien Giang province shows strong stylistic affinities with its Khmer counterpart (CAT.66). Wood was the most common material for the making of Buddha images. Twenty-three have been discovered at Go Thap alone. The author argues that the Buddha Granting Boons found at Nen Chua, Kien Giang province has been influenced by the Sārnāth school. However, as it belongs to the same iconographic type as the Buddha Granting Boons from Tuol Ta Hoy, Kampong Speu province (CAT.50), it might have been affiliated to the Sthaviravāda ordination lineage as well (Krairiksh 2014).

Hiram Woodward's essay, "Stylistic Trends in Mainland Southeast Asia, 600-800", discusses the dating of some of the exhibits from Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam. First he selected three lintels to show the stylistic evolution from the earliest type with *makara* and the double cupped arch from Sambor on the Mekong (CAT.62) of the late 6th century to the Wat Eng Khna lintel (CAT.88) from the third quarter of the 7th century, in which the arch has been straightened out and foliage medallions replace the *makaras*. The final outcome is the design of the Prasat Phum Prasat lintel, dated 706, which is composed purely of vegetal scrolls, at the center of which the author sees a stylized "ghost of a monster mask", which is "more typical of Chinese than of Indian art". Thence he proceeds to date 7th to 8th-century Cambodian and Thailand Dvāravatī period sculpture in the exhibition "in the light of these three lintels", which as he admits, "takes us into the realm of subjective judgements".

Two catalog entries, entitled, "Visnu and Kingship" and "Śiva's World", bring to a close the theme of "The Brahmanical World". The fourth theme is "State Art". Under this heading there are three articles on Thailand's Dvāravatī period art and architecture: "Dvāravatī Sculpture" by Robert L. Brown, "Cakra: Quintessential Symbol of the Buddha's Law" by Thierry Zéphir, and "Buddhist Architecture and Ritual Space in Thailand, Seventh to Ninth Century" by Stephen A. Murphy. Apparently, the curator must have thought that Buddhism in Thailand between the 6th and the 8th century must have had the same state support as it has today. However, prior to the passing of the Sangha Act of 1902, which brought Buddhism under state control, Buddhism was supported by three strata of society, namely the people, the *sangha* (Buddhist monkhood) and the royal court. Each entity contributed its own particularities to Buddhist art.

Robert L. Brown observes that, although the predominant source for the typical Dvāravatī Buddhas (CAT.117) was the Sārnāth style, "it shares many characteristics with Chinese sculptures, such as the tightly clinging robe, without folds, that hides

the genitals". He believes that both Dvāravatī and Chinese Buddhas of the Northern Qi dynasty (550-577) were influenced by the Sārnāth images. Other sculpture typical of Dvāravatī are the Wheel of the Law (CAT.122-123), raised on pillars, and the standing or seated Buddha flanked by attendants on a flying animal (CAT.124), which might have been placed on the hubs of the wheels. He hypothesizes that the combinations represent that "the Buddha is giving a lecture from the sky", which is in tune with the popularity of the scene of the Miracles at Śrāvastī (CAT.126), in which the Buddha preaches from the sky. However, this reviewer has identified the type of standing Buddha, wearing the robe covering both shoulders, showing asexual nudity, with both hands executing the gesture of argumentation as representing Amitābha/Amitāyu (Infinite Light/Endless Life), the Mahāyāna Buddha of the Sukhāvatī cult who descends from Sukhāvatī, Land of Bliss, to receive the souls of the faithful. The scene of descent from Sukhāvatī is depicted by Amitābha/Amitāyu attended by the Bodhisattvas Avalokiteśvara and Mahāstāmaprāpta, standing on a composite winged animal (Krairiksh 2012, 88-92) that could have symbolized anger, greed and illusion. When affixed to the hub of the Wheel of the Law, raised on a pillar and covered with gold leaf, it would have tallied with a passage from the "*Larger Sukhāvatī-Vyūha: Description of Sukhāvatī, the Land of Bliss*", which says that "Buddha Amitāyus stood in the midst of the sky with Bodhisattvas Mahāstāma and Avalokiteśvara, attending on his right and left respectively. There was such a bright and dazzling radiance that no one could see clearly; the brilliance was a hundred thousand times greater than that of gold." (Cowell 1969, 175-176). Nevertheless, this reviewer agrees with the author's conclusion that "Dvāravatī, and probably most art associated with the name, can be securely placed only in the seventh and eighth centuries" and that "the political history of Dvāravatī presented today is a construct, not a reality".

Thierry Zéphir's "*Cakra: Quintessential Symbol of the Buddha's Law*" discusses three different types of *cakras* in Buddhist art: the Jewel Wheel (*ratnacakra*), one of the seven gems of the universal monarch (*cakravartin*), the Wheel of Life (*samsāracakra*) and the Wheel of the Law (*dharmacakra*). The Wheel of the Law is linked to the Buddha's first sermon at Sārnāth, the "Sermon on Setting in Motion The Wheel of the Law" (*Dhammacakkappavattana Sutta*), which lays out the tenets of the Buddha's teaching. While some Dvāravatī *dharmacakras* bear excerpts from this sermon on the rims of the wheel, others are inscribed with the "Independent Arising" formula.

Stephen A. Murphy's "Buddhist Architecture and Ritual Space in Thailand, Seventh to Ninth Century" covers moated urban settlements with monastic architecture in northeastern Thailand at Muang Fa Daed, Kalasin province, and at Muang Sema, Nakhon Ratchasima province. The ordination halls (*ubosot*) had a set of eight or sixteen stone boundary markers (*semas*) around them. Some have scenes from the life of the Buddha, others those of his former lives (*jātakas*). At Muang Fa Daed, there are remains of foundations for seven stūpas inside the moat and seven outside. Excavations half a kilometer from the moat of Muang Sema

revealed the foundation of an assembly hall (*vihāra*) that used to house an eleven-meter long stone image of Buddha in *mahāparinirvāna* posture, in the vicinity of which a *dharmacakra* was found. Excavations also revealed a monastic compound at the center of the settlement complete with a large *vihāra*, an *ubosot*, and numerous stūpas. The reviewer would like to suggest that the findings at Muang Sema indicate the presence of Theravāda Buddhism where both the town-dwelling monks (*kammavāsi*) and the forest-dwelling monks (*araññavāsi*) had once coexisted.

The theme of “State Art” is carried onto the catalog entries, in which, with the exceptions of two images of “Enthroned Buddha”, one from Son Tho, Vietnam (CAT.108) and the other reportedly found in Myanmar, in the Cleveland Museum of Art (CAT.109), all are Dvāravatī period sculpture.

“Savior Cults” is the name of the fifth theme of the catalog and the exhibits. It consists of a single article on Thailand’s Dvāravatī period, entitled “The Transformation of Brahmanical and Buddhist Imagery in Central Thailand, 600-800”, by Pattaratorn Chirapravati. Under the heading “Si Thep: Ancient Cosmopolitan Center of the 8th and 9th Centuries”, the author surveys the recent excavations there as well as reports on the findings of Tang Dynasty (618-906) ceramics and sealings depicting Buddha in meditation with a Chinese inscription giving the name Wenxiang. Six images of Sūrya may have been discovered at Si Thep, the most in Thailand, one of which is in the exhibition (CAT.70), as well as an image of Visnu (CAT.71) and two of Krsna Govardhana. As Sūrya is sometimes depicted seated at the base of a *dharmacakra*, with both hands holding a lotus bud, the *dharmacakra* is identified with the sun. Following Robert L. Brown’s opinion that “the double *katakamudrā*, a gesture used consistently by Sūrya, may indicate an association between the Buddha and the sun”, the author then surmises that Sūrya’s double *katakamudrā* “may be the source of the popular double teaching hand gesture (*vitarkamudrā*), a pose that is not known in India”. The reviewer suggests that a prototype should be sought in China where a bronze Amitābha/Amitāyu from the Sui dynasty (518-618) in the Musée Guimet is depicted with the double *vitarkamudrā* held in front of the chest (Munsterberg 1967, PL.24). Since a rock-cut relief at Buduruvagala, Śrī Lanka, depicting the Bodhisattva Maitreya is shown with the double *katakamudrā*, the author concludes that “while Sūrya’s double hand gesture of *katakamudrā* is used on the standing image of Maitreya, the double hand gesture of *vitarkamudrā* was developed for Śākyamuni”. The reviewer does not believe that stylistic analysis can adequately explain the transformation of Buddhist iconography, because iconography follows the evolution of Buddhist thought as expressed through successive ordination lineages. The answer, therefore, must be sought not from the outside, but within the Buddhist religion itself. Ultimately, the theme of “Savior Cults” fails to connect with the superb images of bodhisattvas that grace the catalog section.

The book concludes with appendices consisting of two articles on technical matters: Federico Carò and Janet G. Douglas on “Stone Types and Sculptural

Practices in Pre-Angkorian Southeast Asia”, and Lawrence Becker, Donna Strahan, and Ariel O’Connor on “Technical Observations on Casting Technology in First-Millennium Cambodia, Thailand, and Vietnam”. A thoughtful addition is a Glossary of Sites in First Millennium Southeast Asia included for the benefit of general readers. Although it does not claim to be exhaustive, it is extremely useful, nonetheless.

Lost Kingdoms: Hindu Buddhist Sculpture of Early Southeast Asia is a compendium of present knowledge of Southeast Asian sculpture from the 6th to the 8th century. As such, it is a must-have volume for anyone interested in the subject. Unfortunately, our understanding of Early Southeast Asia is limited to research based on a 20th century paradigm of “Indianization” that has closed our mind to the equally potent force of “Sinicization”. Although Chinese historical sources from the 1st century onwards are the principal provider for our knowledge of the history of these “Lost Kingdoms”, archaeologists and art historians have so far neglected to study the “Sinicization” of Early Southeast Asia.

Piriya Krairiksh

References

Cotter, Holland. 2014. “Gathering of Gods from Places Long Forgotten: In ‘Lost Kingdoms’ National Treasures from Asian Countries.” *The New York Times. Art & Design / Art Review* (April 10, 2014).

Cowell, E.B. et al ed. 1969. “The Larger Sukhāvatī-Vyūha: Description of Sukhāvatī, the Land of Bliss.” *Buddhist Mahāyāna Texts*. New York: Dover Publication.

Gutman, Pamela and Bob Hudson. 2012-2013. “A First Century Stele from Sriksætra.” *Bulletin de L’École Française d’Extrême-Orient* 99: 17-46.

Krairiksh, Piriya. 2013. “Early Buddhist Art in Southeast Asia.” Paper presented at the international conference on “The Origin of Buddhism in Southeast Asia: Dhamma and Archaeology.” (January 11-14, 2013). Nakhon Si Thammarat: Unpublished.

Krairiksh, Piriya. 2014. “Dvāravatī Revisited.” A Symposium in Connection with the Exhibition Lost Kingdoms: Hindu Buddhist Sculpture of Early Southeast Asia 5th to 8th Century (April 14-July 27, 2014). The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York: Unpublished.

Munsterberg, Hugo. 1967. *Chinese Buddhist Bronze*. Tokyo: C. E. Tuttle.

Ray, Nihan-Ranjan. 1936. *Sanskrit Buddhism in Burma*. Amsterdam: H. J. Paris.

Sireñ, Osvald. 1970. *Chinese Sculpture*. New York: Hacker Art Books.

Suzuki, Takashi. 2012. *The History of Srivijaya*. Tokyo: Mekong.